

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_89243
Title of the Manuscript:	Women in Biodiversity Conservation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: Revisit and revise. The abstract should clearly cover the elements: Introduction to the topic, research problem, main aim, a brief description of the methodology, key results and findings and main conclusions and recommendations. 2. Maintain consistency of font and size used throughout (i.e., text, headings, and sub-headings). Check this throughout. 3. Write the title of the table above the table and the title of the figure below the figure. Check this throughout. 4. Write "Table" with capital T 5. The section of "Methods and Procedures" must be well supported by sources. Cite sufficient sources in text to support this section. 6. Background Section lack of sufficient sources. Cite some recent sources. 7. Lack of a critical review of relevant existing body of literature. Authors need to review, analyse, and evaluate existing relevant pool of literature, to develop a solid argument of the importance of carrying out this research. 8. Sources of the Figures are missing. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Furat Al-Faraj
Department, University & Country	University of Bolton, The United Kingdom